

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/710,551	11/09/2000	Madhur Kohli	Kohli 1-1-5-1	5405
75	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Walter W. Du			KLINGER,	SCOTT M
Law Office of V 10255 Main Str			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Clarence, NY	•	•	2153	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
	09/710,551	KOHLI ET AL.	/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott M. Klinger	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	rate
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	I V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	November 2000.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyaı	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen		} 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	, ,,,		*
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview :	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-31 are pending.

Priority

A claim for priority from provisional application 60/165,374 has been made. The effective filing date for subject matter in the application is 12 November 1999.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "at least some" in claim 4 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "at least some" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The term "said actions include complex actions" in claim 19 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "complex actions" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vaid et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,502,131, hereinafter, "Vaid"). Vaid discloses a directory enabled policy management tool for intelligent traffic management. Vaid shows,

In referring to claim 1,

- One or more policy proxies associated with said network elements; said policy proxies are configured to implement actions at network elements they represent:
 - "5) Policy Proxy: This is a software module which can "push" policies (i.e. configure enforcement policies) on devices which are not "policy aware". A policy aware device is one that is capable of contacting a Policy Decision Engine via a policy Transaction Protocol. The present device can support QoS configuration of Cisco and Bay network devices. The communication mechanism will be SNMP SET's and or HTTP and or CLI and/or Telnet." (Vaid, col. 28, lines 26-34)
- A central policy processing point in communication with said policy proxies:
 - "The present system includes a policy manager 1801 that oversees a network. The policy manager includes directory access and a resolution engine 1803. The directory access and resolution engine interface with policy-driven services 1805. These engines monitor and control enablement and enforcement agents 1813." (Vaid, col. 26, line 65 col. 27, line 3)

Art Unit: 2153

• Wherein said policy proxies are configured to notify said policy processing point of events occurring in said network:

The policy proxies receive their policies from the policy manager that oversees the network

 Said policy processing point is run-time programmable with one or more policies to process said events and to notify one or more of said policy proxies of actions to be taken in response to said events:

"The traffic management cycle is depicted as a continuous cycle, which includes a monitoring phase 301, a creating/applying policy phase 303, and a reporting/alarming phase 305, but is not limited to these cycles. That is, these cycles can be separated or combined depending upon the application. By way of this cycle, the tool can adapt to any changes to the networking system according to the present invention." (Vaid, col. 10, lines 56-63)

In referring to claim 2,

• Said events are associated with event contexts and said policies are defined to produce actions in response to said events based on policy defined rules:

"These terms include, among others, "rules" and "classes" and "policies." Rules can be created for very specific groups of flows or more general groups of flows, which are commonly all the stuff that transmits to and from a link to a gateway point. Groups of flows are also referred to as traffic classes, but are not limited to such classes. Classes also can be defined by source, destination, application, file types, URLs, and other features. Policies can be specified to control traffic flows in terms of overall bandwidth guarantees, bandwidth limits, priority of service, how individual sessions within a class are serviced or admitted, and other aspects. The present tool also has intelligent policy validation that prevents users from defining any contradictory or ambiguous rules." (Vaid, col. 16, line 6 – col. 17, line 10)

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 3,

• Said events include one or more of primitive events representing a single network element event, basic events representing a group of primitive events occurring within a predetermined time window, complex events representing an accumulation of primitive events over time, internal events generated in response to network elements failing to take required actions, and policy defined events generated by said policies according to policy defined event rules:

Vaid, col. 16, line 6 - col. 17, line 10 (see full quote above)

In referring to claim 7,

• Said policy proxies are configured to store event registration information identifying policies that have registered for selected events:

"In yet an alternative aspect, the present monitoring or profiling tool has a save feature for storing the chart or plot. In particular, the present tool can save snapshots of measurements to a disk file or the like. As merely an example, the present tool saves snapshots using the following sequence of steps, which should not be construed as limiting: Go to view/log in the tool to configure a log file; Add measurements to the file and start and/or stop logging." (Vaid, col. 22, lines 30-39)

In referring to claim 8,

 Said policy processing point includes one or more of an event registration unit for registering events processed by said policy processing point with said policy proxies, an event distribution component for distributing event registration information to said policy proxies, an action/condition handler, and an action distribution component:

Vaid, col. 22, lines 30-39 (see full quote above)

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 9,

• One or more distributed policy processing points associated with said policy proxies and

adapted to process local events received from said policy proxies:

Policy proxies are distributed policy processing points adapted to process local events

In referring to claim 10,

An aggregator for routing action commands from said policy processing point to said

policy proxies:

A system in which a policy proxy enforces policies from a policy processing point

inherently implies routing action commands from said policy processing point to said

policy proxies

In referring to claims 11 and 12,

• Said policy proxies are identified in said policies using domain information; said system

includes a directory server that maintains a domain registry that associates said domain

information with policy proxy addresses; said directory server being responsive to

domain resolution queries from said aggregator:

The system of Vaid is intended for use on the Internet: "As merely an example, the

present invention is implemented on a wide area network of computers or workstations

such as the Internet." (Vaid, col. 1, lines 23-26), the Internet inherently implies domain

information and domain resolution of the network elements with domain information

centrally stored in a domain registry

In referring to claim 15,

• An administrative module implementing a graphical user interface for writing policies

run by said policy processing point.

Vaid, Figs. 4-7 show an administrative module implementing a graphical user interface

for writing policies run by said policy processing point

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 16,

 An administrative module implementing a graphical user interface for tracing policies by said policy processing point, said interface being configured to allow users to select actions and trigger events involved in said policies, and to trace sources that cause said

actions or trigger said events:

Vaid, Figs. 4-7 show an administrative module implementing a graphical user interface

for tracing policies by said policy processing point

In referring to claim 20,

• A policy monitor configured to detect rule conflicts in said policies based on a specified

set of constraints on concurrent execution of certain actions:

"The present tool also has intelligent policy validation that prevents users from defining

any contradictory or ambiguous rules." (Vaid, col. 17, lines 8-10)

Claims 21- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Natarajan et al. (U.S.

Patent Number 6,584,502, hereinafter "Natarajan"). Natarajan discloses a technique for

providing automatic event notification of changing network conditions to network elements in an

adaptive, feedback-based data network. Natarajan shows,

In referring to claim 21,

• Configuring a policy execution space at run time to run one or more policies:

"the policies may be dynamically re-configured during run-time" (Natarajan, col. 14,

lines 62-3)

• Monitoring events occurring at said network elements:

"The event notification system comprises at least one event supplier entity for monitoring

at least one data source for desired information relating to updated network conditions."

(Natarajan, col. 2, lines 53-56)

Art Unit: 2153

 Processing said events at a central policy processing point by applying said one or more policies; said processing including applying policy rules to said events to determine actions to be taken in response to said events:

"Each policy contains a specific set of rules for analyzing specific information from selected network elements, and for generating updated control information in response to the analysis of the gathered information. The policy may include protocol specific rules, business logic rules, event notification rules, etc." (Natarajan, col. 14, lines 56-61), a policy system that has rules inherently implies applying said rules

• Controlling one or more of said network elements according to said actions:

"The feedback-based adaptive network of the present invention monitors current conditions of local and/or remote network elements and dynamically adjusts network control parameters based upon analysis of the monitored network elements." (Natarajan, col. 7, lines 5-9)

In referring to claim 22,

• Said events are associated with event contexts and said policies are programmed to produce actions in response to said events based on said policy rules:

Natarajan, col. 7, lines 5-9 (see full quote above)

In referring to claim 23,

• Said events include one or more of primitive events representing a single network element event, basic events representing a group of primitive events occurring within a predetermined time window, include complex events representing an accumulation of primitive events over time, internal events generated in response to network elements failing to take required actions, and policy defined events generated by said policies according to policy defined event rules:

Natarajan, col. 14, lines 56-61 (see full quote above), event notification rules inherently implies either an event representing a single network element event or a basic even representing one or more single network element events

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 24,

One or more of aggregating primitive events into basic or complex events, generating
internal events, registering events to associate them with said policies, and filtering
events prior to processing them at said policy processing point.

Natarajan, Fig. 7 shows the event registration procedure

In referring to claim 25,

• Defining said network elements according to domain information in said policies and using domain resolution to determine which network elements to advise of said actions. The system of Natarajan is intended for use on the Internet: "Various types of computer networks include internetworks (e.g., the Internet), local area networks (LANs), metropolitan area networks (MANs), and wide area networks (WANs)." (Natarajan, col. 1, lines 64-67), the Internet inherently implies domain information and domain resolution of the network elements

In referring to claim 26,

Said domain information is centrally stored in a domain registry:
 The system of Natarajan is intended for use on the Internet: *Natarajan*, *col. 1*, *lines 64-67* (see full quote above), the Internet inherently implies domain information centrally stored in a domain registry

In referring to claim 27,

• A data storage medium:

"A software or software/hardware hybrid of the feedback-based adaptive network element of this invention is preferably implemented on a general-purpose programmable machine selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program stored in memory." (Natarajan, col. 11, lines 35-39)

Art Unit: 2153

- Program means recorded on said data storage medium for configuring a policy execution space at runtime to run one or more policies:
 - "the policies may be dynamically re-configured during run-time" (Natarajan, col. 14, lines 62-3)
- Program means recorded on said data storage medium for monitoring events occurring at said network elements:
 - "The event notification system comprises at least one event supplier entity for monitoring at least one data source for desired information relating to updated network conditions." (Natarajan, col. 2, lines 53-56)
- Program means recorded on said data storage medium for processing said events at a
 central policy processing point by applying said one or more policies; said processing
 including applying policy rules to said events to determine actions in response to said
 events:
 - "Each policy contains a specific set of rules for analyzing specific information from selected network elements, and for generating updated control information in response to the analysis of the gathered information. The policy may include protocol specific rules, business logic rules, event notification rules, etc." (Natarajan, col. 14, lines 56-61), a policy system that has rules inherently implies applying said rules
- Program means recorded on said data storage medium for advising one or more of said network elements of said actions:
 - "The event notification system further comprises at least one event handler entity for notifying at least one network element of an event relating to updated network conditions." (Natarajan, col. 2, lines 56-59)

In referring to claim 28,

• Said events are associated with event contexts and said policies are programmed to produce actions in response to said events based on said policy rules:

Natarajan, col. 7, lines 5-9 (see full quote above)

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claim 29,

• Said events include one or more of primitive events representing a single network element event, basic events representing a group of primitive events occurring within a predetermined time window, complex events representing an accumulation of primitive events over time, internal events generated in response to network elements failing to take required actions, and policy def-med events generated by said policies according to policy defined event rules:

Natarajan, col. 14, lines 56-61 (see full quote above), event notification rules inherently implies either an event representing a single network element event or a basic even representing one or more single network element events

In referring to claim 30,

Program means recorded on said data storage medium for aggregating primitive events into basic or complex events, generating internal events, performing one or more of registering events to associate them with said policies, and filtering events prior to processing them at said policy processing point.

Natarajan, Fig. 7 shows the event registration procedure

In referring to claim 31,

• Program means recorded on said data storage medium for using domain resolution to identify policy proxies defined by way of domain information in said policies:

The system of Natarajan is intended for use on the Internet: *Natarajan, col. 1, lines 64-67* (see full quote above), the Internet inherently implies domain information

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2153

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaid in view of Stevens et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,539,425, hereinafter "Stevens"). Although Vaid shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including the system of claim 1 (see 102 rejection above), Vaid does not show a policy server including an event filter. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Vaid as evidenced by Stevens.

In analogous art, Stevens discloses policy-enabled communications networks. Stevens shows a policy server including an event filter: "In one illustrative embodiment of the present invention, both simple and complex policy mechanisms are included in a new architecture for policy-enabled devices. Such policy-enabled devices advantageously contain a Data Access Client Module (DACM) and Policy Interpreter and Processor (PIP). The DACM illustratively establishes a data path between a network device and data stores containing device configuration information, and simple policy definitions, e.g., filter tables, and the like." (Stevens, col. 4, lines 41-49)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Vaid so as to use an event filter, such as taught by Stevens, in order to provide simple policy definitions.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaid in view of Rail (U.S. Patent Number 6,275,844, hereinafter "Rail"). Although Vaid shows substantial features of the claimed invention, Vaid does not show restarting the policy proxies when they become non-operational. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Vaid as evidenced by Rail.

In analogous art, Rail discloses automatically maintaining applications in a computer system using a check in time. Rail shows a method of monitoring a server and restarting it when it

Art Unit: 2153

becomes non-operational: "a system is provided for maintaining applications in a computer system. The maintenance system includes a database which is operable to store a check-in entry associated with an application and configuration information for the application. A processor is coupled to the database. The processor is operable to determine whether a check-in time for the application associated with the check-in entry is later than a specified time, deactivate the application associated with the check-in entry if the check-in time is later than the specified time, and start the application associated with the check-in entry if the application is not running." (Rail, col. 1, lines 47-57)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Vaid so as to restart the policy proxies when they become non-operational, such as taught by Rail, in order to automatically maintain the servers without manual intervention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaid in view of Chao et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,393,485, hereinafter "Chao"). Although Vaid shows substantial features of the claimed invention, Vaid does not show a failover and upgrade system. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Vaid as evidenced by Chao.

In analogous art, Chao discloses a method and apparatus for managing clustered computer systems. Chao shows: "The system clustering product extends small clusters to multi-clusters of two or more nodes. Further, the present cluster system supports resource group failover among any two nodes in a larger cluster of two or more nodes." (Chao, col. 5, lines 18-21)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Vaid so as to provide failover support, such as taught by Chao, in order to increase the reliability of the system.

Art Unit: 2153

In referring to claims 17 and 18, although Vaid shows substantial features of the claimed invention, Vaid does not explicitly show a debugging tool. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious addition to the system disclosed by Vaid.

Although a debugging tool is not mentioned in the specification of Vaid, Vaid does mention validation policies: "The present tool also has intelligent policy validation that prevents users from defining any contradictory or ambiguous rules." (Vaid, col. 17, lines 8-10). Intelligent validation would in effect, debug policies.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Vaid so as to provide a debugging tool, in order to prevent poor policies from being written.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Klinger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8285. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Klinger

Examiner

Art Unit 2153

smk

PRIMARY EXAMINER